Privacy and Bias Considerations of Predictive Models

2019 ASNY SPRING MEETING MAY 13, 2019

ROBERT D. HELFAND

OFFICE OF THE CONNECTICUT STATE

COMPTROLLER

"Victims of Our Own Success"

2

Sometimes, algorithms discriminate by working *too* well

- Disparate Impact
- Price Optimization
- Consumer Interactions

"Disparate Impact" is a term of art in Employment and Housing Law

- An action that is otherwise lawful may violate antidiscrimination statutes, if it has a "disproportionately adverse effect" on members of a protected class
 - Each statute identifies the classes that will be protected
 - \times *E.g.*, racial or religious minorities

4

An **algorithm** can have a disparate impact, if it is **accurate**, but it nevertheless results in disproportionate harm to an identifiable group

- Higher prices or denial of health coverage for consumers with pre-existing conditions
- Higher costs of home or auto ownership for residents of low-income neighborhoods

5

Disparate impact of an algorithm can result in government intervention

- Discrimination based on pre-existing conditions is prohibited for employer-based health plans and plans under the ACA
- A small number of plaintiffs have sued property insurers under a disparate impact theory
 - HUD's Discriminatory Effects Rule
 - o "Risk discrimination is not race discrimination"

6

Will legislatures or regulators intervene in the property insurance market?

 Increased granularity can exacerbate disparate impact, even as it eliminates actual bias

 Could economic or social disadvantage become a "pre-existing condition"?

Price Optimization

"Price optimization" is the practice of adjusting riskbased prices to further business objectives

• *E.g.*, Discounts to penetrate new markets

Capping rate increases to preserve a customer base

Price Optimization

8

Beginning in 2014, critics complained that insurers use predictive analytics to "optimize" prices based on the price elasticity of individual consumers

- "Unfair discrimination"—i.e., charging different rates for equivalent risks—is unlawful in the US
- Risk pool optimization
 - Disproportionate impact on consumers with a low propensity to change carriers

Price Optimization

9

Is price optimization legal? It's hard to say!

- 21 State Bulletins
 - Most say price optimization is unlawful
 - Definitions of "price optimization" vary
- "Sophisticated data analysis ... is not ... a violation of ... rating laws as long as the rating classifications and rating factors are cost-based."

BUT

- "[P]ractices that adjust premiums, whether or not included in the insurer's rating plan, are not allowed when the practice cannot be shown to be cost-based."
 - -- Connecticut Bulletin PC-81

10

Insurers often deal directly with individual consumers

Sales and marketing

Negotiating the settlement of claims



What resources can insurers bring to consumer interactions?

- Allstate provides agents with third-party data about 300 million consumers
 - o "When you call now, they'll know you"
- Acxiom, a data broker, claimed to have "3,000 propensities for nearly every US consumer"



What can insurers do with those resources?

• Kosinski et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110.15 (2013):

"[B]asic digital records of human behavior can ... accurately estimate a wide range of personal attributes."

 Alexander Nix, CEO of Cambridge Analytica, Nov. 9, 2016:

"Data-driven communications played ... an integral part in ... Donald Trump's extraordinary win. It demonstrates the huge impact ... data science ... can have."



- Many states require insurers to offer certain types of coverage at the time a policy is purchased
 - o *E.g.*, Uninsured Motorist Coverage
- The law requires a "meaningful offer"
 - State Farm v. Wannamaker, 354 S.E.2d 555 (S.C. 1987)
- If an agent uses personal data to make it more likely the customer will decline the offer, can the offer still be "meaningful"?



What else can Big Data do?

- Praemonition, LLC provides "litigiousness ratings" for individual claims
 - SAS provides "litigation propensity scores"
- Picture It Settled, LLC, states that analytic models can "optimize signaling" in settlement negotiations
- Lexis/Nexis states that using analytics to evaluate claims can result in "25-49 percent lower attorney involvement" and "15-25 percent lower severity payments"

15

An insurer's duty of good faith to first-party claimants requires it to make a settlement offer within the range of what is "reasonable"

- Does that duty allow an insurer to use personal data to persuade the claimant to accept an offer at the lowest end of the range?
 - Open it allow the insurer to use data to pre-empt attorney involvement?
 - Open Does it allow the insurer to exploit price elasticity?

A Parting Thought

16

"The [insurer's] obligations ... encompass qualities of decency and humanity."

o -- Foley v. Interactive Data Corp., 47 Cal.3d 654 (1988)